# Terrorism as Socio-Economic and Cultural Barriers to Indonesian Firms' Financial Performance

Petrus Reinhard Golose<sup>1</sup> Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kepolisian (STIK-PTIK), Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract: Terrorism causes ethical and cultural issues and adversely impacts a nation's socio-economic development. Considering the escalating focus on the economic contribution toward Indonesia's stability, growth, and industrial transformation, the current study aims to examine the impact of terrorism on the financial performance of firms listed on the Indonesian stock exchange. The statistics regarding terrorist attacks, fatalities, and injuries during such attacks from 2011 to 2020 in Indonesia were gathered from the Global Terrorism Database, and the data regarding firms' internal characteristics and the external environment was gathered from the credit agency in Indonesia, PT Pemeringkat Efek Indonesia, mostly known as PEFINDO. At the same time, the central Bank Indonesia was approached for the financial statements of the firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange. Applying the Cobb-Douglas production function and Pooled Ordinary Least Squares, the results revealed a significant and negative influence of terrorism on firms' performance. The influence of firms' internal characteristics and external environment were considered control variables. As a valuable addition to the existing literature, the current study provides unique evidence of the effects of previous years' terrorism and within-country variations of terrorism on firm financial performance.

*Keywords:* Terrorist attacks, fatalities, injures, firms' performance, Indonesia.

The frequency and intensity of terrorism have substantially increased in the last two decades (Nyadera & Bincof, 2019; Onat et al., 2022). According to the global terrorism index 2021, 4,886 global terror attacks were reported, resulting in 6,413 injuries and 6,627 casualties. This increase in violence and terrorism further resulted in a drastic decrease in a nation's economic development and progress (Viana & da Silva, 2021). Terrorists mainly target businesses that cause drastic economic repercussions on the productive capacity of organizations (Dimitrova et al., 2022). Moreover, scholars have reported various concepts and aspects of terrorists, including the employment of violence and force to achieve political goals, politically motivated violence against civilians, and criminal acts to provoke the state (Stohl, 2006; Vergani et al., 2019; Wilson, 2021). Although there is no universal definition of terrorism, researchers often conceptualize terrorism as "the systematic use of violence, often across national borders, to achieve a political or religious aim through fear, coercion, or the intimidation of the public" utilizing the concept mentioned above (Czinkota et al., 2010, p. 330).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding Author: Department of Police Studies, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kepolisian (STIK-PTIK), Jakarta, Indonesia. E-Mail: golosepetrus88@gmail.com

#### Golose, P. R.

Terrorism has several consequences and policy implications. For instance, terrorism affects the business environment by disturbing business strategies, supply, and demand (Farooq et al., 2021), and a country's business activities depend on anti-terror activities and laws and regulations set by government institutions. Organizations must also bear certain costs to protect themselves against terrorism threats, negatively affecting their performance (Zheng et al., 2021). Researchers also reported the creation of fear among organizations and the destruction of business infrastructure due to that fear (Golase, 2022). Regardless of the drastic impacts of terrorism on business performance, research in the domain is scarce (Broeders et al., 2021; Shandler et al., 2022). More recently, scholars suggested examining the impact of terrorism on the financial performance of organizations (Lee et al., 2021; Okafor & Calderon, 2022).

The current study aimed to examine the impact of terrorism on the financial performance of firms listed on the Indonesian stock exchange to fill the research gap. In recent years, Indonesia has been regarded as among the riskiest countries in Southeast Asia due to intense terrorist attacks (Setiawan, 2020). Per the Global Terrorism Index 2022, Indonesia's terrorism score was reported as 5.5, keeping it in 24th place among the 163 countries in the index. Table 1 presents the number of terror incidents, fatalities, and injuries in Indonesia from 2011 to 2020.

#### Table 1

The Number of Terror Incidents, Fatalities, and Injuries in Indonesia (2011-2020)

| Year | Number of | Number of  | Number of |
|------|-----------|------------|-----------|
|      | Incidents | fatalities | injuries  |
| 2011 | 18        | 14         | 67        |
| 2012 | 26        | 12         | 22        |
| 2013 | 10        | 13         | 4         |
| 2014 | 4         | 3          | 6         |
| 2015 | 8         | 10         | 3         |
| 2016 | 10        | 8          | 25        |
| 2017 | 17        | 6          | 29        |
| 2018 | 27        | 61         | 34        |
| 2019 | 10        | 7          | 16        |
| 2020 | 21        | 13         | 22        |

Note. Global Terrorism Database: https://www.start.umd.edu/gtd/

There is a continuous debate regarding the significance of firms in strengthening the economy, generating spillover effects boosting exports, and creating jobs in the country (Bakry et al., 2021). Regardless of these essential facts, to the best of the authors' knowledge, no empirical investigation has been conducted in Indonesia to date to examine the association of terrorism with the financial performance of firms listed on the Indonesian stock exchange. Hence to address this literature gap, the current study focused on this prime motive based on understanding terrorism's toxic impact on organizations' financial activities and performances, more specifically in developing nations compared to developed nations.

This argument can further be elucidated by the fact that terrorism erodes governance and market structures that run the business and widens the institutional gap in developing nations. Due to limited resources, developing nations are less vulnerable to terrorism, which causes heavy damage. The current study was founded on a contingency view theory that postulates the existence of associations among organizational characteristics, external environmental conditions, and firms' performance. A survey methodology was conducted among Indonesian firms listed on Stock Exchange to achieve the study objectives. The macrolevel data on terrorism was collected from the global terrorism database. To establish the discriminant and convergent validity of the measures (Henseler et al., 2015), a standardized survey instrument was employed with an identical sampling methodology to produce comparable data across firms and countries and minimize any potential error (Okafor & Calderon, 2022). Additionally, the global terrorism database reports the country-specific number of terror attacks and collects data daily.

The current study adds value to the existing body of literature in multiple ways by uniquely investigating the impacts of terrorism on a firm's financial performance in Indonesia. First, some researchers highlighted political instability's influence on multinational corporations' activities (Shabir et al., 2022; Yasuda & Kotabe, 2021). In contrast, the objective type of political instability affecting firms' performance based on terrorist activities has been ignored previously (Coman et al., 2021; Dimitrova et al., 2022). Second, the severe terrorist attack activities causing injuries and deaths were considered in the current study's analysis. Third, country-level terrorist attack data were aggregated, and their impacts on the firms' performance listed on the Stock Exchange of Indonesia are unique and offer valuable policy insights for practitioners and academics.

## Literature Review and Conceptual Framework

The literature regarding firms' performance is based on three perspectives, i.e., the theory-based contingency view (Yuen et al., 2019), the universal perspective (Gupta et al., 2020), and the situation-specific perspective (Fuchs et al., 2019). The universal view depicts the irrelevance of the external environment with organizational performance in the presence of encompassing strategies from the management (Chinoperekweyi, 2020). In contrast, the situation-specific view focuses on the external environment and unique situations determining firms' performance (Fuchs et al., 2019). However, the contingency view lies between the universal and situation-specific views. Following a contingency view, the unique organizational characteristics and external environment determine their performance (Yuen et al., 2019). Hence it highlights the significance of external and internal environments in enhancing a firm's productivity. Therefore, the study was founded on a contingency-based view while determining the firm's performance based on the internal characteristics and external environment.

### **Financial Performance and Terrorism**

Research has reported the direct and indirect impacts of terrorism on various business activities (Czinkota et al., 2010; Dimitrova et al., 2022). The destruction of the factors that facilitate production in business activities presents the direct consequences of terrorism (Zheng et al., 2021). Indeed, the increased costs of business operations, supply and value chain interruptions, and buyers' demand decline reflect the indirect consequences of terrorism (Butt, 2021). Kosová and Enz (2012) study of 30,000 US hotels from 2000 to 2009 shows that terrorism adversely affected the financial performance of the firms during the reported period. These results support the arguments that terrorism significantly impacts travel demands and consumer choices. Cam (2008) study of US industries also revealed the significant impact of terrorism on firm performance. Likewise, Shahzad et al. (2017) reported the negative association of terrorism with industry performance based on the research conducted among Pakistanis equity industries from 2000 to 2014. In addition, a recent study conducted among Nigerian manufacturing firms by Okafor and Calderon (2022) reported the significant influence of terrorism on a firm's financial performance. Likewise, Mazhar and Rehman (2021) analyzed the influence of terrorism on manufacturing firms in Pakistan. They reported a reduced growth rate of the firms regardless of age. Researchers also reported the negative impacts of terrorism on employee growth at organizational levels (Soomro et al., 2021). Terrorism has also been

reported negatively influence an organization's accumulation, capital mobility, productivity, and labor growth rate (Okafor & Calderon, 2022), depicting the poor performances of such organizations (Mazhar & Rehman, 2021). Regardless of the research available in the domain, there is a dearth of empirical studies considering the impact of terrorism on the firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange, and very few studies have considered the financial performance of various firms related to a specific industry, that is, manufacturing (Okafor & Calderon, 2022).

## **Factors Impacting Firms' Financial Performance**

Other than terrorism, several internal and external characteristics of the firms influence their performance. For instance, firms' age, ownership structure, the type of competition faced by the firms, export activities, and capital intensity (Deng et al., 2021). Firms achieve speedier efficiencies, strong market positions and high bargaining power in terms of sellers and buyers based on the soundness of these factors (Edeh & Acedo, 2021). The research reports the significant influence of these factors on the firms' performance. For instance, Leung and Sharma (2021) found a positive association between firm age with firm performance. Din et al. (2021) reported predictive differences in firms' performances based on ownership structure. In this context, Chuang and Hsu (2004) reported that firms with foreign ownership outperformed domestic or state-owned firms while researching Chinese firms. Gurbuz and Aybars (2010) stated similar results in their study conducted among Turkish firms. Researchers also highlighted a positive association of fixed assets with a firm's performance (Liu et al., 2021; Velliscig et al., 2022). The high involvement of bureaucrats or the unnecessary government regulation that hinders business practices were found to be negatively associated with firm performance (Okafor & Calderon, 2022). Likewise, Anafia and Ulpah (2021), in a study conducted among the Indonesian Stock Exchange listed firms, found that the competition of non-listed firms negatively impacted the performances of the listed firms on the Indonesian Stock Exchange. Likewise, the barriers to entry that create less competition were positively associated with the firm's performance (Lugovoi et al., 2022). Based on the literature support, the current study considered these internal and external factors as control variables to predict their influence on the firm's performance on the Indonesian stock exchange. Hence, the current study postulates that;

H1a: A negative association will exist between terrorists incidents and the financial performance of firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange.

**H1b:** A negative association will exist between the number of fatalities and the financial performance of firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange.

**H1c:** A negative association will exist between the number of injuries and the financial performance of firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange.

# **Research Method**

## **Data Collection and Sampling Measures**

The current study was conducted among the Indonesian Stock Exchange-listed firms. In September 2021, there were 750 listed companies on the Indonesian Stock Exchange. Indonesian market capitalization imparted 45.2% of its nominal GDP by December 2020. The total number of investors on the Stock Exchange reached 6.4 million compared to 2.5 million in 2019. The dependent variable in the current study, which is of the main interest, is the firm financial performance measured by the sales and profit per employee. Although some scholars

reported these as the narrower measures of the firms' performance (Tilică, 2021), they are compatible across the firms and deal with both the profitability and revenue side of the firms (Kim & Park, 2021). When using an accounting-based approach, firms' performance allows greater comparability, criterion stability, and financial viability across different firms (Baydaş & Elma, 2021). In addition, the counting-based approach used in the current study helped to compare firm performances via return on investments and a single index.

## Table 2

Variables, Their Categories, Conceptualizations, and Measurements

| Variable    | Variable                   | Conceptualization and measurement          | Expected |
|-------------|----------------------------|--|----------|
| Category    | Drofit por Employee        | The total amount a firm "i" review in a    | Sign     |
| Dependent   | Profit per Employee        | financial year "t" distributed based on    |          |
|             |                            | total employees. It is measured in Local   |          |
|             |                            | Currency Units (LCU).                      |          |
|             | Profit per Employee        | The total gross profit attained by a firm  |          |
|             | Tont per Employee          | "i" in a financial year "t" is divided by  |          |
|             |                            | the total number of employees and          |          |
|             |                            | measured in LCU.                           |          |
| Independent | No. of Terrorist Incidents | Terrorism is conceptualized as "the        |          |
| independent |                            | planned use of the threat of extra-        | —        |
|             |                            | normal violence to obtain a political,     |          |
|             |                            | religious, or ideological objective"       |          |
|             |                            | (Okafor & Calderon, 2022, p. 7). The       |          |
|             |                            | total number of terrorist incidents in the |          |
|             |                            | year "t" in Indonesia.                     |          |
|             | No. of Fatalities          | The total number of people killed          |          |
|             |                            | during terrorist attacks in a year "t."    | —        |
|             | No. of Injuries            | The total number of people injured         |          |
|             | 5                          | during terrorist attacks in the year "t."  | _        |
| Control     | Firms' Age                 | The total number of years since the        | +        |
|             | C                          | firm has operated in Indonesia is listed   |          |
|             |                            | on the stock exchange and measured in      |          |
|             |                            | years.                                     |          |
|             | Ownership Status           | The domestic or foreign ownership of a     | +        |
|             | -                          | firm "i" in a year "t" is measured in      |          |
|             |                            | percentage.                                |          |
|             | Fixed Assets Investments   | The money invested, do you require         | +        |
|             |                            | physical assets, i.e., machinery plants,   |          |
|             |                            | and buildings by a firm "i" in a year "t." |          |
|             |                            | It is measured in LCU                      |          |
|             | Competition from the non-  | This is the perceived degree of            | _        |
|             | listed Firms               | competition faced by a firm "i" in a       |          |
|             |                            | year "t" with the non-listed firms. It     |          |
|             |                            | was measured on a 5-point Likert scale     |          |
|             |                            | of $0-4$ . Where $0 = no$ perceived and 4  |          |
|             |                            | = intense competition                      |          |
|             | Dealing with Government    | The time spent by senior management        | _        |
|             | Regulations                | in dealing with the government. It is      |          |
|             |                            | measured in percentages.                   |          |
|             | Exports Status             | The total number of goods sold outside     | +        |
|             |                            | the domestic market by a firm i in a       |          |
|             |                            | year t." It is measured in percentages.    |          |

Terrorism, as an independent variable, was measured with terrorist incidents, fatalities, and injuries. Other variables like firm age, ownership structure, the type of competition faced by the firms, export status, and dealing with government regulations that determine the firms' internal characteristics and external environments were considered control variables.

Multiple data sources were used to obtain data for these internal and external variables from 2011-2020. For instance, the oldest and most trusted credit agency in Indonesia, PT Pemeringkat Efek Indonesia, mostly known as PEFINDO, was approached to get the credit rating information of the sample firms. The central Bank of Indonesia provided financial statements for firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange. Table 2 depicts the details regarding study variables.

## **Descriptive Statistics of the Study Variables**

The average sales per employee in the Indonesian local currency unit (IDR) was 79.781 (000,000), and the average profit per employee was 45.670 (000,000). A large variation was found between the minimum and maximum values for the sales and profit per employee. This depicts the high level of depression in profits and sales across the sampled firms. The mean for the number of terrorist incidents, fatalities, and injuries were 15.181, 15.545, and 22.909, respectively. Table 3 presents the descriptive statics for all the other study variables depicting the firm's external environment and internal characteristics, and Table 4 presents the correlations among the study constructs.

### Table 3

| Variables                                   | Mean    | STD.    | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Profit per Employee, LCU (000,000 IDR)      | 45.670  | 21.731  | 30.420  | 1,680.150 |
| Profit per Employee                         | 79,781  | 118.501 | 0.170   | 69,843    |
| No. of Terrorist Incidents                  | 15.181  | 7.870   | 4.000   | 27.000    |
| No. of Fatalities                           | 15.545  | 6.367   | 3.000   | 61.000    |
| No. of Injuries                             | 22.909  | 10.822  | 3.000   | 67.000    |
| Firms'Age                                   | 26.712  | 8.654   | 1.000   | 122.000   |
| Domestic Ownership (%)                      | 93.232  | 18.009  | 0.000   | 100.000   |
| Foreign Ownership (%)                       | 3.543   | 09.643  | 0.000   | 100.000   |
| Fixed Assets Investments, LCU (000,000 IDR) | 192.926 | 11.85   | 0.000   | 66,495.87 |
| Competition from the non-listed Firms       | 2.941   | 1.343   | 0.000   | 4.000     |
| Dealing with Government Regulations (%)     | 12.570  | 7.120   | 0.000   | 100.000   |
| Exports Status (% of total goods)           | 10.983  | 4.921   | 0.000   | 100.000   |

Descriptive Statistics of the Variables

# Table 4

Correlational Matrix

| Copyright 2023  |  |
|-----------------|--|
| ISSN: 2149-1291 |  |

| Variables                       | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      | 9      | 10     | 11     | 12    |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Profit per Employee (log)       | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |
| Profit per Employee (log)       | 0.760  | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |
| No. of Terrorist Incidents      | -0.347 | -0.476 | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |
| No. of Fatalities               | -0.425 | -0.347 | -0.229 | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |
| No. of Injuries                 | -0.344 | -0.279 | -0.211 | -0.336 | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |
| Firms'Age                       | 0.061  | 0.042  | 0.077  | 0.031  | 0.056  | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |        |       |
| Domestic Ownership (%)          | 0.059  | 0.081  | 0.077  | 0.074  | 0.050  | 0.052  | 1.000  |        |        |        |        |       |
| Foreign Ownership (%)           | 0.071  | 0.065  | 0.060  | 0.075  | 0.045  | 0.048  | 0.066  | 1.000  |        |        |        |       |
| Fixed Assets Investments (log)  | 0.289  | 0.370  | 0.311  | 0.206  | 0.197  | 0.218  | 0.456  | 0.378  | 1.000  |        |        |       |
| Comp. from non-listed firms     | -0.143 | -0.241 | -0.255 | -0.227 | -0.356 | -0.189 | -0.321 | -0.286 | -0.234 | 1.000  |        |       |
| Dealing with Govt. Policies (%) | -0.120 | -0.154 | -0.210 | -0.234 | -0.167 | -0.098 | -0.461 | -0.444 | -0.360 | -0.321 | 1.000  |       |
| Exports Status (%)              | -0.217 | -0.233 | -0.190 | -0.467 | -0.413 | -0.290 | -0.383 | -0.111 | -0.202 | -0.199 | -0.346 | 1.000 |

### **Results and Discussion**

### **Theoretical Model**

A simple and reduced Cobb–Douglas production function was applied to estimate the influence of terrorism on firms' financial performance (Okafor & Calderon, 2022). Terrorism, directly and indirectly, impacts production factors that destroy capital by reducing returns on investment, capital in mobility, and losses of capital assets (Koshkin & Novikov, 2019). Terrorism also drastically hinders the adoption of new technologies and investments in efficient techniques resulting in the displacement of skilled employees and low productivity (Mazhar & Rehman, 2021). In other words, it negatively impacts all production factors determining the firms' performances. Equation one presents the baseline estimation of the current study model.

$$Y_{i,t} = A^{\alpha}_{i,t} K^{\beta}_{i,t} L^{1-\alpha-\beta}_{i,t}$$
(1)

Note. A = labour-augmenting technology; K = capital; L = labour efficiency; Y = firm financial performance".

We enhanced the model by considering terrorism as an influencing factor in the firm's financial performance via the abovementioned production factors. Moreover, while conducting the current study, we followed the guidelines of Mazhar (2018) regarding the influence of terrorism on firms' financial performance.

## **Empirical Strategy**

The data from 2011 to 2020 was used for the data analysis. The Pooled Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Technique was used for the baseline analysis (Long et al., 2019). The pooled OLS was used to examine a simple linear relationship between the number of terror attacks, fatalities, and injuries with firm performance in the form of sales per employee and profit per employee. However, there are certain restrictions on the model. For instance, it does not control the heterogeneity among the constructs. Therefore, we considered random and fixed effects to address the issue of heterogeneity among the study constructs. The Hausman test was found suitable among the fixed effects methods to deal with the issue over the random effects methods based on several characteristics. For instance, it helps to control the unobserved time-invariant factors. The reduced form of the baseline estimation for the current study is presented in equation 2:

$$y_{it} = \alpha_0 + \beta_i X_t + \sum_{k=1}^{k=7} \delta_k Control \quad Variables_{it} + \sum_{t=2009}^{t=2014} Year_t + \mu_i + v_{it}$$
(2)

*Note.* yit=firm financial performance in firm i, in time t; Xt = number of terrorist incidents, number of fatalities, and number of injured;  $\delta k$ ,1\_7= control variables;  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  = coefficients to be estimated;  $\mu$ i and vit = disturbance terms."

#### **Baseline Regression Analysis**

The pooled OLS baseline results for the association of the terrorist incidents, the number of fatalities, and the number of injuries with firm performance (sales per employee and profit per employee) are given in Table 5.

#### Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies 2023, Vol.10, No. 2, 22-40 http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/1536

#### Copyright 2023 ISSN: 2149-1291

## Table 5

Regression Analysis

| Variables                      | OSL          | OSL          | Fixed effects | Fixed effects | OSL           | OSL           | Fixed effects | Fixed effects |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                                | 1            | 2            | 3             | 4             | 5             | 6             | 7             | 8             |
|                                | Sales/worker | Sales/worker | Sales/worker  | Sales/worker  | profit/worker | profit/worker | profit/worker | profit/worker |
| No. of Terrorist Incidents     | 1.000        |              |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| No. of Fatalities              | 0.760        | 1.000        |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| No. of Injuries                | -0.347       | -0.476       | 1.000         |               |               |               |               |               |
| Firms' Age                     | -0.425       | -0.425       | -0.425        | 1.000         |               |               |               |               |
| Domestic Ownership (%)         | -0.344       | -0.344       | -0.344        | -0.344        | 1.000         |               |               |               |
| Foreign Ownership (%)          | 0.061        | 0.061        | 0.061         | 0.061         | 0.061         | 1.000         |               |               |
| Fixed Assets Investments (log) | 0.059        | 0.059        | 0.059         | 0.059         | 0.059         | 0.059         | 1.000         |               |
| Comp. from non-listed firms    | 0.071        | 0.071        | 0.071         | 0.071         | 0.071         | 0.071         | 0.071         | 1.000         |
| Dealing with Govt. Policies    | 0.289        | 0.289        | 0.289         | 0.289         | 0.289         | 0.289         | 0.289         | 0.289         |
| Exports Status (%)             | -0.143       | -0.143       | -0.143        | -0.143        | -0.143        | -0.143        | -0.143        | -0.143        |
| Constant                       | -0.120       | -0.120       | -0.120        | -0.120        | -0.120        | -0.120        | -0.120        | -0.120        |
| F stat                         | -0.217       | -0.217       | -0.217        | -0.217        | -0.217        | -0.217        | -0.217        | -0.217        |
| Prob. $>$ F                    |              |              |               |               |               |               |               |               |
|                                |              |              |               |               |               |               |               |               |

No. of observations

*Note.* Robust standard errors are in parentheses; \*significance at 10%, \*\*significance at 5%, and significance at 1%; Sales/Worker and Profit/Worker are logarithms transformed.

The Results revealed a significant and negative influence of the terrorist incidents ( $\beta = 0.211^{***}$ ;  $\beta = 0.189^{***}$ ), number of fatalities ( $\beta = 0.196^{***}$ ;  $\beta = 0.127^{***}$ ), and number of injuries ( $\beta = 0.203^{***}$ ;  $\beta = 0.148^{***}$ ) on sales per employees and profit per employees respectively depicting the firm's performance. We also extended the estimations using the fixed effect techniques and found consistent results from the pooled OLS estimations. Applying fixed effect estimation, an increase of terrorist incidents by 1 negatively impacted the sales per employee and profit per employee by approximately -0.1%. Similar findings were extracted for the number of fatalities and injuries and their association with firms' performance. Hence, the results supported the hypothesis of the study. These results align with the ongoing debate regarding the economic consequences of terrorism. Previously research reported that terrorism negatively impacts a country's economic conditions by drastically impacting business conditions (Tauringana et al., 2021).

Researchers have also reported that terrorism significantly impacts firms' supply chains by destroying a country's basic infrastructure for economic development (Awodola & Oboshi, 2015; Kah, 2017). This drastic impact further causes the economic agents to limit their economic participation because of the less expected returns on their investments or the fear of terrorist attacks. A study conducted among Indonesian firms reported a significant negative influence of cyberattacks on business activities and firms' performance, further leading to the limitation of economic activities in a country (Marune & Hartanto, 2021; Noor et al., 2022). This argument can be liked to terrorist activities affecting economic growth in Indonesia. Researchers also debate the decrease in foreign direct investment in Indonesia over the last few decades due to terrorist activities (Setiawan, 2020). It further negatively impacts a firm's productivity highly dependent upon foreign investments or foreign exchange (Bakry et al., 2021). At the same time, in recent years, the Indonesian government has imposed specific laws and regulations regarding terrorism, which has some adverse effects on economic activities based on the lack of clear instructions regarding the ban of certain products in certain areas or the movement of the people in the certain areas (Syam et al., 2020).

Moreover, comparing the mean values of the number of terrorist incidents, fatalities, and injuries presented by Okafor and Calderon (2022) in their study on Nigerian manufacturing firms, we can see a drastic difference in the number (exponentially high in the Nigerian context). This further affects the ranking of the countries in the global terrorist index, which keep Indonesia at the 24th number. However, the impact size of terrorists attacks, the number of fatalities, and the number of injuries on Indonesian firms' performance are larger than those Okafor and Calderon (2022) reported, which reveals that in Indonesia, regardless of the fewer incidences than Nigeria, their impact is more drastic on business performances and economic situations. This further reveals the intolerance of the economy towards such terrorist attacks. Hence, the government should consider modifying regulations and policies to win investors' trust. The model also included the control variables with varied results, as presented in Table 5.

### **Robustness analysis**

To check and verify the robustness of the results extracted from pooled OLS analysis, a restoration of the baseline regression was conducted using lagged data (t-1) of terror incidents, the number of fatalities, and the number of injuries. The logic behind this robustness check is based on the understanding that past terrorism activities may also affect the association between terrorism and firms' performance. This robustness check revealed that regardless of the lagged or non-lagged data, terrorism negatively impacted the firms' performance, as shown in Table 6.

#### Copyright 2023 ISSN: 2149-1291

# Table 6

Robustness Checks-Terrorism Estimates and Firm Performance

| Variables                                  | OSL               | Fixed effects     | OSL               | Fixed effects     |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|  | 1                 | 2                 | 3                 | 4                 |
|  | Sales/worker      | Sales/worker      | profit/worker     | profit/worker     |
| No. of Terrorist Incidents (previous year) | -0.214*** (0.000) | -0.213*** (0.000) | -0.190*** (0.000) | -0.191*** (0.000) |
| No. of Fatalities (previous year)          | -0.194*** (0.000) | -0.195*** (0.000) | -0.126*** (0.000) | -0.127*** (0.000) |
| No. of Injuries (previous year)            | -0.203*** (0.000) | -0.203*** (0.000) | -0.149*** (0.000) | -0.149*** (0.000) |
| Control variables                          | Yes               | Yes               | Yes               | Yes               |
| Constant                                   | 0.643*** (0.000)  | 0.645*** (0.000)  | 0.783*** (0.000)  | 0.789*** (0.000)  |
| Wald $\chi 2$                              | 112.290           | 119.432           | 101.324           | 111.710           |
| Prob. $> \chi 2$                           | 0.000             | 0.000             | 0.000             | 0.000             |
| No. of observations                        | 750               | 750               | 750               | 750               |

*Note.* Robust standard errors are in parentheses; \*significance at 5%, \*\*significance at 1%, and \*\*\*significance at 0.001%; Sales/Worker and Profit/Worker are logarithms transformed; Control variables of the baseline regression are included.

Furthermore, the robustness check was performed to avoid the potential for endogeneity linked with the association of terrorism with the firm's performance. Previous research reported that poorly performing firms and unsuccessful businesses lead to higher unemployment rates lowering the opportunity cost to participate in terrorism activities (Gurbuz & Aybars, 2010; Iqbal & Usman, 2018; Mansoor et al., 2022). Hence, researchers have highlighted the significance of examining the association of poor firm performance with terrorism (Tauringana et al., 2021).

We employed an instrumental variable specification to deal with this issue. We used religiosity in Indonesia to explain the exogenous terrorism influence on firms' performance because, in Indonesia, religiosity has been associated with terrorism for years. Moreover, religiosity is linked with terrorism directly, as several scholars have suggested (Barton et al., 2021; Halim & Hosen, 2021). The results of the OLS estimations using religiosity as an instrumental variable specification also verified the significant and negative influence of terrorism on the firms' performance (Table 7).

Additionally, logarithmic transformation of all the independent variables, including terrorist incidents, the number of fatalities, and the number of injuries, was considered to address any potential specification errors linked with dysfunctional firms (if any). However, we found consistent results with all the previous estimates. Furthermore, based on the regression analysis results by applying fixed effects output by increasing 1% terrorist incidents, sales per employee and profit per employee were reduced by 0.453% and 0.312%, respectively. Finally, considering the varied intensity of terrorism across various provinces in Indonesia, which further impacts the economic activities in various provinces, we used the data of all the firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange regardless of their origin on a regional basis. Also, we found higher standardized beta values regardless of the available literature, which has previously estimated the impact of terrorism on state-level firms' performances.

#### Copyright 2023 ISSN: 2149-1291

## Table 7

Robustness Check-Alternative Functional Form of Terrorism

| OSL               | Fixed effects  | OSL  | Fixed effects  |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 1                 | 2  | 3  | 4  |
| Sales/worker      | Sales/worker   | profit/worker  | profit/worker  |
| -0.487*** (0.000) | -0.482*** (0.000)  | -0.398*** (0.000)  | -0.397*** (0.000)  |
| -0.347*** (0.000) | -0.348*** (0.000)  | -0.277*** (0.000)  | -0.278*** (0.000)  |
| -0.302*** (0.000) | -0.306*** (0.000)  | -0.219*** (0.000)  | -0.218*** (0.000)  |
| Yes               | Yes  | Yes  | Yes  |
| 1.267*** (0.000)  | 1.280*** (0.000)   | 0.981*** (0.000)   | 0.942*** (0.000)   |
| 15.436            | 15.436   | 12.450   | 12.450   |
| 0.000             | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| 750               | 750  | 750  | 750  |
|                   | 1<br>Sales/worker<br>-0.487*** (0.000)<br>-0.347*** (0.000)<br>-0.302*** (0.000)<br>Yes<br>1.267*** (0.000)<br>15.436<br>0.000 | $\begin{array}{c cccc} 1 & 2 \\ Sales/worker & Sales/worker \\ \hline -0.487^{***} (0.000) & -0.482^{***} (0.000) \\ -0.347^{***} (0.000) & -0.348^{***} (0.000) \\ -0.302^{***} (0.000) & -0.306^{***} (0.000) \\ Yes & Yes \\ 1.267^{***} (0.000) & 1.280^{***} (0.000) \\ 15.436 & 15.436 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c cccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ Sales/worker & Sales/worker & profit/worker \\ \hline -0.487^{***} (0.000) & -0.482^{***} (0.000) & -0.398^{***} (0.000) \\ \hline -0.347^{***} (0.000) & -0.348^{***} (0.000) & -0.277^{***} (0.000) \\ \hline -0.302^{***} (0.000) & -0.306^{***} (0.000) & -0.219^{***} (0.000) \\ \hline Yes & Yes & Yes \\ \hline 1.267^{***} (0.000) & 1.280^{***} (0.000) & 0.981^{***} (0.000) \\ \hline 15.436 & 15.436 & 12.450 \\ \hline 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \end{array}$ |

*Note.* Robust standard errors are in parentheses; \*significance at 5%, \*\*significance at 1%, and \*\*\*significance at 0.001%; Sales/Worker and Profit/Worker are logarithms transformed; Control variables of the baseline regression are included.

### **Implications of the Study**

Extending the Cobb–Douglas production function, the current study has several theoretical and practical contributions. The current study is a valuable addition to the terrorism and firm performance literature based on the scarcity of research in the area. Rather than considering the influence of terrorism as a whole on a firm's performance, we considered the terrorist attacks, the number of fatalities, and the number of injuries to see the predictive differences of each on a firm's performance. Firm performance was measured based on sales and profit per employee to extract the predictive differences. Additionally, we considered the internal characteristics of the firms and the external environment other than terrorism to determine their impacts on the financial performance of the firms. In the context of a developing nation, particularly in the southeastern region, this is the first study of its kind where the impact of terrorism on all the firms listed on the Stock Exchange in Indonesia has been investigated. Hence, this adds to the institutional framework and helps developing nations devise their strategies and regulations accordingly.

Practically the policymakers can utilize the study findings to effectively devise and implement security measures to develop a sense of confidence among the firms to operate in a terror-free environment. These findings can be further utilized to provide a threat-free environment to the firms to enhance their productivity. Further, the loopholes and shortcomings in this security system that causes the terrorist attacks should be identified and rectified to provide a peaceful environment for investors and organizations. At the same time, government officials and policymakers in Indonesia must focus on the after-effects of terrorism to mitigate those effects and with a quick rehabilitation process. This can be done by institutionalizing at a large scale and developing safety nets, especially for firms more affected by terrorism. Moreover, results concerning the negative impact of time wastage by managers in dealing with government bureaucrats on firms' performance should be considered seriously, and policies and regulations should be made to keep the firms away from this bureaucratic culture by creating a bias-free environment.

### Conclusion

Considering the significance of the law-and-order situation in the economic development and growth of a country linked with the firms' productivity, the current study empirically tested the impact of terrorism on firms' performance by utilizing a unique methodology of assessing data from various data sources. Previously very few studies have recently established the impact of terrorism on firms' performance, but they collectively assessed the influence of the number of fatalities and injuries on firms' performance (Okafor & Calderon, 2022). In contrast, the current study has divided terrorism into three constructs and further examined their impact on the financial performance of the firms listed on the Indonesian stock exchange. Results revealed the significant negative influence of terrorism on the financial performance of the firms.

Regardless of the several strengths, the current study has some limitations that future researchers should consider presenting more policy insights. The current study has considered the data set at the country level. In contrast, future researchers can conduct a study at the state level to see the predictive differences of the impact of terrorism on the firms' performances in different regions and states of Indonesia. The terrorist activities of various terrorist groups should also be considered to see their impact on the firms' productivity. Moreover, the firms listed on the Stock Exchange of Indonesia can be divided into several sectors, and the impact of terrorism on each sector should be examined to see the sectors most affected by terrorism.

Finally, the broader perspective of organizational performance beyond sales per employee and profit per employee can be considered by including the firm's capital structure to analyze the impact of terrorism on their productivity.

# References

- Anafia, N. D., & Ulpah, M. (2021). Covid-19 outbreak impact on sectoral firm performance listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange in various sectors. *IQTISHADUNA*, 12(2), 286– 293. https://doi.org/10.20414/iqtishaduna.v12i2.4469
- Awodola, B., & Oboshi, A. (2015). Terrorism in northern Nigeria: A threat to food security in Maiduguri. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3S2), 11–17. https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n3s2p11
- Bakry, M., Syatar, A., Abubakar, A., Risal, C., Ahmad, A., & Amiruddin, M. M. (2021). Strengthening the cyber terrorism law enforcement in Indonesia: Assimilation from Islamic jurisdiction. *International Journal of Criminology and Sociology*, 10, 1267– 1276.
- Barton, G., Vergani, M., & Wahid, Y. (2021). Santri with attitude: Support for terrorism and negative attitudes to non-Muslims among Indonesian observant Muslims. *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/19434472.2021.1944272
- Baydaş, M., & Elma, O. E. (2021). An objective criteria proposal for the comparison of MCDM and weighting methods in financial performance measurement: An application in Borsa Istanbul. *Decision Making: Applications in Management and Engineering*, 4(2), 257– 279. https://doi.org/10.31181/dmame210402257b
- Broeders, D., Cristiano, F., & Weggemans, D. (2021). Too close for comfort: cyber terrorism and information security across national policies and international diplomacy. Studies Conflict æ Terrorism. Advance online publication. in https://doi.org/10.1080/1057610X.2021.1928887 Butt, A. S. (2021). Strategies to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on supply chain disruptions: a multiple case analysis of buyers and distributors. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 12(1), 1-10.. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211071098Cam, M. A. (2008). The impact of terrorism on United States industries. Economic Papers: A Journal of Applied and Policy, 27(2), 115–134. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. *Economics* 1759-3441.2008.tb01031.x
- Canever, J. B., Danielewicz, A. L., Leopoldino, A. A. O., & de Avelar, N. C. P. (2021). Is the self-perception of the built neighborhood associated with fear of falling in communitydwelling older adults? *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 95, Article 104395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2021.104395
- Chinoperekweyi, J. (2020). Exploring philosophical proclivity of change management articles: Technical-rational perspective versus other change philosophies. *Organization Development Journal*, 38(2), 15–26.
- Chuang, Y.-C., & Hsu, P.-F. (2004). FDI, trade, and spillover efficiency: Evidence from China's manufacturing sector. *Applied Economics*, 36(10), 1103–1115. https://doi.org/10.1080/0003684042000246812
- Coman, C., Andrioni, F., Ghita, R.-C., & Bularca, M. C. (2021). Social and emotional intelligence as factors in terrorist propaganda: An analysis of the way mass media portrays the behavior of Islamic terrorist groups. *Sustainability*, *13*(21), Article 12219. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112219

- Czinkota, M. R., Knight, G., Liesch, P. W., & Steen, J. (2010). Terrorism and international business: A research agenda. *Journal of International Business Studies*, *41*(5), 826–843. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2010.12
- Deng, Y., Wu, Y., & Xu, H. (2021). On the relationship between pollution reduction and export product quality: Evidence from Chinese firms. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 281, Article 111883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111883
- Dimitrova, A., Triki, D., & Valentino, A. (2022). The effects of business-and non-businesstargeting terrorism on FDI to the MENA region: The moderating role of political regime. *International Business Review*, 31(6), Article 101976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2022.101976
- Din, S. U., Khan, M. A., Khan, M. J., & Khan, M. Y. (2021). Ownership structure and corporate financial performance in an emerging market: A dynamic panel data analysis. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 17(8), 1973–1997. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-03-2019-0220
- Edeh, J. N., & Acedo, F. J. (2021). External supports, innovation efforts and productivity: Estimation of a CDM model for small firms in developing countries. *Technological Forecasting* and *Social* Change, 173, Article 121189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore. 2021.121189
- Farooq, M. U., Hussain, A., Masood, T., & Habib, M. S. (2021). Supply chain operations management in pandemics: A state-of-the-art review inspired by COVID-19. *Sustainability*, 13(5), Article 2504. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052504
- Fuchs, C., Sting, F. J., Schlickel, M., & Alexy, O. (2019). The ideator's bias: How identityinduced self-efficacy drives overestimation in employee-driven process innovation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 62(5), 1498–1522. https://doi.org/10.5465/ amj.2017.0438
- Golase, P. R. (2022). A comparative analysis of the factors predicting fears of terrorism and cyberterrorism in a developing nation context. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 9(4), 106–119. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/1372
- Gupta, K., Crilly, D., & Greckhamer, T. (2020). Stakeholder engagement strategies, national institutions, and firm performance: A configurational perspective. *Strategic Management Journal*, *41*(10), 1869–1900. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3204
- Gurbuz, A. O., & Aybars, A. (2010). The impact of foreign ownership on firm performance, evidence from an emerging market: Turkey. *American Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 2(4), 350–359. https://doi.org/10.3844/ajebasp.2010.350.359
- Halim, A., & Hosen, N. (2021). Changing the religiosity of Indonesian Muslims in the New Normal Era. *Wawasan: Jurnal Ilmiah Agama dan Sosial Budaya*, 6(1), 1–12.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 43(1), 115–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8 Iqbal, U., & Usman, M. (2018). Impact of financial leverage on firm performance: Textile composite companies of Pakistan. *SEISENSE Journal of Management*, 1(2), 70–78. https://doi.org/10.33215/sjom.v1i2.13
- Kah, H. K. (2017). 'Boko Haram is losing, but so is food production': Conflict and food insecurity in Nigeria and Cameroon. *Africa Development*, 42(3), 177–196.
- Kim, D. K., & Park, S. (2021). An analysis of the effects of occupational accidents on corporate management performance. *Safety Science*, 138, Article 105228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105228

- Koshkin, A., & Novikov, A. (2019). Economic risks of terrorist attacks and specific features of their assessment. *1st International Scientific Practical Conference The Individual and Society in the Modern Geopolitical Environment"(ISMGE 2019)*, 31, 367-372. https://doi.org/10.2991/ismge-19.2019.70
- Kosová, R., & Enz, C. A. (2012). The terrorist attacks of 9/11 and the financial crisis of 2008: The impact of external shocks on US hotel performance. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 53(4), 308–325. https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965512457021
- Lee, C. S., Choi, K.-S., Shandler, R., & Kayser, C. (2021). Mapping global cyberterror networks: An empirical study of al-Qaeda and ISIS cyberterrorism events. *Journal of Contemporary* Criminal Justice, 37(3), 333–355. https://doi.org/10.1177/10439862211001606
- Leung, T. Y., & Sharma, P. (2021). Differences in the impact of R&D intensity and R&D internationalization on firm performance–Mediating role of innovation performance. *Journal of Business Research*, *131*, 81–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.03.060
- Liu, H., Yi, X., & Yin, L. (2021). The impact of operating flexibility on firms' performance during the COVID-19 outbreak: Evidence from China. *Finance Research Letters*, 38, Article 101808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101808
- Long, W., Liu, C., & Song, H. (2019). Pooling in tourism demand forecasting. *Journal of Travel Research*, *58*(7), 1161–1174. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287518800390
- Lugovoi, I., Andritsos, D. A., & Senot, C. (2022). Manufacturing process innovation in the pharmaceutical industry. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 24(3), 1760–1778. https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2021.1035
- Mansoor, M., Saeed, A., Rustandi Kartawinata, B., & Naqi Khan, M. K. (2022). Derivers of green buying behavior for organic skincare products through an interplay of green brand evaluation and green advertisement. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 13(4),328–343. https://doi.org/10.1080/20932685.2022.2085597
- Marune, A. E. M. S., & Hartanto, B. (2021). Strengthening personal data protection, cyber security, and improving public awareness in Indonesia: Progressive legal perspective. *International Journal of Business, Economics, and Social Development*, 2(4), 143–152. https://doi.org/10.46336/ijbesd.v2i4.170
- Mazhar, U. (2018). Terrorism and firm performance: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *The BE Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*, 19(1), Article 20180041. https://doi.org/10.1515/bejeap-2018-0041
- Mazhar, U., & Rehman, F. (2021). Monetary policy in a developing country: A case of Pakistan. *Asian Journal of Management Cases*, 18(2), 144–155. https://doi.org/10.1177/09728201211028719
- Noor, U., Mansoor, M., & Shamim, A. (2022). Customers create customers!–Assessing the role of perceived personalization, online advertising engagement and online users' modes in generating positive e-WOM. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration.Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-11-2021-0569
- Nyadera, I. N., & Bincof, M. O. (2019). Human security, terrorism, and counterterrorism: Boko Haram and the Taliban. *International Journal on World Peace*, *36*(1), 4–15. https://www.jstor.org/stable/26883662
- Okafor, G., & Calderon, C. (2022). Empirical evidence of the relationship between terrorism and firm financial performance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-04-2021-0639

- Onat, I., Bastug, M. F., Guler, A., & Kula, S. (2022). Fears of cyberterrorism, terrorism, and terrorist attacks: An empirical comparison. *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/19434472.2022.2046625
- Setiawan, D. A. (2020). Cyber terrorism and its prevention in Indonesia. *Jurnal Media Hukum*, 27(2), 267–283.
- Shabir, M., Ali, M., Hashmi, S. H., & Bakhsh, S. (2022). Heterogeneous effects of economic policy uncertainty and foreign direct investment on environmental quality: Crosscountry evidence. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(2), 2737–2752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-15715-3
- Shahzad, S. J. H., Stauvermann, P. J., Kumar, R. R., & Ahmad, T. (2017). The impact of terrorism on industry returns and systematic risk in Pakistan: A wavelet approach. *Accounting Research Journal*, 30(4), 413–429. https://doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-09-2015-0114
- Shandler, R., Gross, M. L., Backhaus, S., & Canetti, D. (2022). Cyber terrorism and public support for retaliation–A multi-country survey experiment. *British Journal of Political Science*, 52(2), 850–868. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123420000812
- Soomro, S. A., Gadehi, A. A., Xu, X. H., & Shaikh, S. A. (2021). Job stress and burnout among employees working in terrorist-ridden areas. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, Article 667488. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.667488
- Stohl, M. (2006). Cyber terrorism: a clear and present danger, the sum of all fears, breaking point or patriot games? *Crime, Law and Social Change, 46*(4), 223– 238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-007-9061-9
- Syam, F., Mangunjaya, F. M., Rahmanillah, A. R., & Nurhadi, R. (2020). Narrative and the politics of identity: Patterns of the spread and acceptance of radicalism and terrorism in Indonesia. *Religions*, 11(6), Article 290. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel11060290
- Tauringana, V., Tingbani, I., Okafor, G., & Sha'ven, W. B. (2021). Terrorism and global business performance. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 26(4), 5636– 5658. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2085
- Țilică, E.V. (2021). Domestic and foreign transmission of the global financial crisis in the real economy. The polish situation. *The Review of Finance and Banking*. 13(1), 47-60. http://dx.doi.org/10.24818/rfb.21.13.01.03
- Velliscig, G., Floreani, J., & Polato, M. (2022). Capital and asset quality implications for bank resilience and performance in the light of NPLs' regulation: A focus on the Texas ratio. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 24, 66–88. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-021-00184y
- Vergani, M., O'Brien, K. S., Lentini, P., & Barton, G. (2019). Does the awareness of mortality shape people's openness to violence and conflict? An examination of terror management theory. *Political Psychology*, 40(1), 111–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12488
- Viana, M. T., & da Silva, P. P. d. S. (2021). Preventing extremisms, taming dissidence: Islamic radicalism and Black extremism in the US making of CVE. *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, 14(1), 24–46. https://doi.org/10.1080/17539153.2020.1870259
- Wilson, C. (2021). The ubiquity of terror: Politically motivated killing of civilians. Nationalism and Ethnic Politics, 27(3), 389–404. https://doi.org/10.1080/13537113.2021.1920709
- Yasuda, N., & Kotabe, M. (2021). Political risks and foreign direct investments by multinational corporations: A reference point approach. *Global Strategy Journal*, 11(2), 156–184. https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1380

- Yuen, K. F., Wang, X., Wong, Y. D., & Ma, F. (2019). A contingency view of the effects of sustainable shipping exploitation and exploration on business performance. *Transport Policy*, 77, 90–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2019.03.004
- Zheng, M., Feng, G.-F., Jang, C.-L., & Chang, C.-P. (2021). Terrorism and green innovation in renewable energy. *Energy Economics*, 104, Article 105695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105695

## Notes on Contributor

*Petrus Reinhard Golose* is currently Head of National Narcotics Board of Republic Indonesia (BNN). He also a lecturer in Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kepolisian (STIK-PTIK), Jakarta. He is well known active both in police operation and researcher on counter transnational crimes such as counter terrorism, narcotics and cybercrime. In 2008, he earned doctoral degree at Universitas Indonesia, Depok. In 2019, he receive Doctor Honoris Causa from Universitas Udayana, Bali.

## ORCID

Petrus Reinhard Golose, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9952-7421