Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

Artistic Expressions as Vehicles of Cultural Memory: Bridging Identity, Heritage, and Intercultural Understanding

Alaviyya Nuri[1]
Nakhchivan State University, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan

Zulfiyya Ismayil
Nakhchivan State University, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan
Nakchivan Branch of ANAS

Malahat Babayeva
Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abulfaz Guliyev
Nakhchivan State University, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan

Firuza Rzayeva
Azerbaijan University of Languages, Baku, Azerbaijan

Gunay Shiraliyeva
Azerbaijan University of Languages, Baku, Azerbaijan

Tabarruk Jahangirli
Azerbaijan Technical University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract: Artistic expressions – encompassing visual arts, literature, music, architecture, and other creative practices – serve as powerful vehicles of cultural memory that carry a society's shared past into the present. This article examines the multifaceted relationship between art and cultural memory, drawing on interdisciplinary theories of collective memory and identity. It begins by outlining the concept of cultural memory as distinct from individual recollection or official history, highlighting how collective memories are constructed, transmitted, and preserved through external media and symbols. Artistic expressions are explored as a form of symbolic communication or "language," encoding cultural values and historical narratives in visual and performative signs. These expressions range from monuments and heritage artifacts to literary works and ritual performances, all of which help stabilize group identity by commemorating shared experiences. We analyze how artworks not only preserve cultural heritage but also actively shape and critique memory – for example, in memorials of trauma that demand ethical reflection. The role of power and perspective in cultural memory is considered, noting that what a society remembers or forgets often reflects relations of hegemony and marginalization. Furthermore, the article discusses contemporary manifestations of cultural memory in digital media, such as serious

[1] Corresponding Author: PhD Student and Lecturer, Nakhchivan State University, Nakhchivan, Azerbaijan E-Mail: eleviyyenuri@ndu.edu.az

games and interactive archives that engage new audiences in heritage learning. Through a synthesis of scholarly insights and examples, we demonstrate that artistic expressions function as dynamic "memory devices" – simultaneously conserving the past and reinterpreting it – thereby contributing to cultural continuity, identity formation, and intercultural dialogue.
Keywords: Cultural memory, artistic expression, collective memory, cultural heritage, identity, semiotics, commemoration

Human communities rely on shared memories of the past to define their collective identity and values (Crawley & Nyahuye, 2022; Elston-Short & Benwell, 2025; Tan, 2020). These memories – beyond mere individual reminiscences – are cultivated and transmitted within a cultural framework, becoming what scholars' term collective memory or cultural memory. Cultural memory encompasses the knowledge, stories, and interpretations of the past that a group "bases its awareness of unity and particularity" upon (Assmann & Czaplicka, 1995; Halbwachs, 2024). Unlike official historical records, cultural memory lives in the realm of shared experience, traditions, and symbols, often carried forward through artistic and cultural expressions rather than formal archives alone. Artistic expressions, from oral epics and folklore to architecture, monuments, and contemporary multimedia art, serve as crucial vehicles for this type of memory, giving tangible form to a community's recollections and values. In the words of cultural memory theorist Jan Assmann, societies "comprise a body of reusable texts, images, and rituals… whose cultivation serves to stabilize and convey that society's self-image" across generations (Assmann, 2011, p. 16). These texts, images, and rituals often take artistic shape – for example, in commemorative songs, paintings of historical events, or annual festivals – thereby binding a community to its past through creative expression.

At the same time, artistic media do more than preserve memory; they actively shape how the past is understood and felt in the present. Because art engages emotion, imagination, and the senses, it can embed cultural memories in a particularly vivid and accessible way. A folk dance, a national poem, or a memorial sculpture can "carry memories of their own," triggering recognition and meaning for those who share that cultural background. Through such expressions, transient events and experiences are given lasting form – what Aleida Assmann calls giving the ephemeral "a local habitation and a name," allowing it to live on in cultural consciousness (Assmann, 2011). In this sense, artists and cultural practitioners function as memory-keepers and even memory-shapers for society. They select, interpret, and dramatize aspects of the past, weaving individual and collective experiences into narratives and images that become widely shared. This creative reworking (Winarto etal., 2025) means that cultural memory is not a static storage of facts, but an active, continual reconstruction of the past in light of present needs and understandings. The past "lives on and unfolds in collective memory" as an evolving story, rather than a fixed chronicle.

In this article, we explore how artistic expressions function as vehicles of cultural memory from multiple angles. First, we outline the theoretical foundations of cultural memory, drawing on foundational thinkers such as Maurice Halbwachs, Jan and Aleida Assmann, and others to define what cultural memory is and how it operates. We then examine the role of artistic and symbolic expression in sustaining this memory, including the semiotic mechanisms by which art encodes and communicates shared meanings. The discussion will span tangible cultural heritage (like monuments, artifacts, and sites) and intangible heritage (such as oral traditions, performances, and rituals), showing how each relies on creative expression to carry memory across time. Additionally, we consider the politics and ethics of cultural memory in art – how power relations influence which memories are celebrated or suppressed, and how artists may challenge dominant narratives by giving voice to alternative or marginalized memories. The capacity of artistic expression to foster reflection, healing, and transformation in the context

Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

of cultural memory will also be addressed, for instance, through art's role in post-conflict "memory-work" or in therapeutic and educational settings. Finally, in a contemporary context, we look at how digital and interactive art forms (such as serious games and data visualizations of museum collections) are expanding the ways cultural memory is preserved and experienced in the 21st century. Throughout, we maintain a global perspective, with examples and concepts that illustrate universal patterns in the interplay of art, memory, and cultural identity, while being mindful to avoid any culturally insensitive or divisive content. The overarching aim is to demonstrate that artistic expressions are not merely reflections of cultural memory but are indispensable vehicles for its ongoing construction, communication, and renewal. In doing so, we shed light on the profound role of the arts in connecting communities with their past and with each other in the present.

Cultural Memory: The Collective Past in the Present

The concept of cultural memory refers to the way groups remember their past through shared frameworks, in contrast to purely individual memory or objective history. Sociologist Maurice Halbwachs, a pioneer in memory studies, argued that all memory is fundamentally shaped by social context – we remember as members of groups, using shared cues and narratives (Halbwachs, 1992, pp. 47–52). What we call collective memory, then, is not stored in a single brain but exists in communication, customs, and communal practices. Halbwachs observed that a society's memory extends only as far back as the living communication of its members: as older generations pass away, direct social memory "erodes at the edges" and must be continually renewed or it fades (Halbwachs, 1992, p. 50). In other words, living collective memory has a limited horizon, typically stretching back about three or four generations (often cited as roughly 80–100 years) – beyond that lies what Jan Assmann terms a "floating gap" between living memory and deep history. To bridge that gap, memories must be stored and transmitted by cultural means. This is where cultural memory, as a distinct phenomenon, comes into play.

Jan Assmann defines cultural memory as the enduring layer of collective memory that is "exteriorized, objectified and stored away in symbolic forms" – in monuments, texts, images, rituals, and other media – and that is maintained by institutions devoted to preserving a shared heritage (Assmann, 2011, p. 16). Cultural memory is not confined to everyday communication; unlike the fluid, interpersonal nature of what Assmann calls communicative memory, cultural memory exists in a more fixed, "disembodied" form and requires deliberate acts of transmission and regeneration. For example, the legends of a founding ancestor, the commemoration of a historical victory, or the observance of a sacred rite are preserved through cultural artifacts and ceremonies that outlast any single individual. These become a society's "memory bank," curated by specialists (priests, teachers, artists, chroniclers) and often formalized in archives, commemorations, or canons of great works. Because it is stored in material and symbolic carriers, cultural memory can span much longer time-frames than living memory – centuries or even millennia. Assmann notes that cultural memory typically reaches back to a mythic or foundational past ("absolute past") that the group continuously reinterprets as meaningful to its identity. In contrast, everyday communicative memory covers the recent past (the last few decades) and is more mutable and prone to fading as people forget or die. Both levels interact, but cultural memory provides the stable reference points – fixed points of cultural reference – that help a group "stabilize and convey [its] self-image" across generations.

Cultural memory, thus, stands at the intersection of history, myth, and identity. It is not identical with professional historiography (which strives for critical, analytical accounts of the past). Instead, cultural memory often blends historical fact with collective myth, memory with meaning – "history turns into myth as soon as it is remembered, narrated, and used" for present

purposes, as Jan Assmann observes. The truth of cultural memory lies less in literal accuracy and more in the identity it shapes for the community (Wang & Fu, 2025). For instance, many nations celebrate an "origin story" or a past golden age through songs, artworks, and monuments that may simplify or even legendaryize the actual events, but nevertheless serve to uphold a sense of communal continuity and pride. Because cultural memory is intimately tied to identity, it is selective: certain events are elevated and commemorated, while others may be downplayed or forgotten. Indeed, forgetting is as integral as remembering – what is omitted or suppressed from official memory can be telling of a group's values or power structures. Aleida Assmann describes cultural memory as an 'amalgam of forgetting, choosing, and processing' of recorded traces of the past" (Assmann, 2011, p. 126). In shaping a collective self-image, communities sift through the past, retaining what resonates with contemporary values and allowing other aspects to lapse into oblivion. This process is dynamic: as present-day perspectives change, so can the interpretation of past events in cultural memory. In short, cultural memory is "always a process", continually reconstructed to align the past with the present context.

Crucially, cultural memory is communicated and sustained through symbols and practices, many of which are artistic or expressive in nature. As Jan Assmann notes, things like monuments, songs, dances, masks, narratives – often the products of artistic creation – serve as triggers and containers of memory. These cultural forms not only "carry memories of their own", but also prompt memories in those who engage with them. A traditional carpet motif might remind a community of its ancestors' nomadic life; a temple's architecture enshrines cosmological beliefs; a folk melody evokes the struggles and triumphs of prior generations. In this way, cultural memory is fundamentally mediated memory – it lives in the mediated representations (images, stories, performances) that are circulated within a culture. As the following sections will explore, artistic expressions are among the most vital of these mediating forms. By giving sensory, narrative, or spatial form to the past, art bridges the gap between personal memory and collective history, embedding individual experiences into a shared cultural narrative. Through art, the collective past gains visibility and emotional resonance in the present. This is key to why art holds such a central place in rituals of remembrance and the construction of identity. Communities, as Bellah et al. famously put it, are in a sense "communities of memory" – they become real by collectively retelling their story, thereby connecting past, present, and future in a continuum of meaning. In the next section, we examine more closely how artistic expression enables this symbolic retelling by functioning as a kind of language or sign-system for cultural memory.

The Semiotics of Artistic Expression and Cultural Meaning

Artistic expressions can be understood as a form of language through which cultures communicate their memories and values. Semiotics – the study of signs and symbols – provides a useful framework for analyzing how art carries meaning. In semiotic terms, any artwork (a painting, a poem, a piece of music) can be seen as a collection of "image-signs" that convey ideas and emotions in a culturally recognizable way. Just as spoken or written language uses words (signifiers) to represent concepts (signifieds), art uses visual, auditory, or narrative symbols to signify deeper meanings. These symbols often derive their meaning from cultural context. For example, colors may have symbolic associations (white for mourning in one culture, but for purity in another), and a specific image – say, the rising sun – might evoke a nation's creation story or a period of renewal in the collective memory. Context is crucial: as art historians Mieke Bal and Norman Bryson argue, the interpretation of an art object is always framed by the cultural and historical context in which the viewer and the artwork meet (Bal & Bryson, 1991, p. 175). The language of art is therefore not universal, but learned through one's culture – yet interestingly, some aspects can transcend cultural boundaries by tapping into

Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

common human experiences (for instance, expressions of maternal affection in art may be widely understood, even if the stylistic conventions differ). Meyer Schapiro (1969) pointed out that even the abstract or non-mimetic elements of art (such as composition, line and color fields) participate in the overall signification of an image. These elements are not mere decoration; they have been shown to vary across periods and places in ways that reflect different cultural sensibilities and values. Thus, to a "sensitive viewer", a painting's formal qualities can be "read" for meaning much like an icon or symbol, because form and content together create the message of the work.

One clear example of art's semiotic power in cultural memory is the practice of iconography in visual art. Iconography is the use of specific images to convey particular meanings or narratives. In many religious or historical traditions, certain visual motifs instantly trigger collective memories: a balance scale might symbolize justice, a lotus flower might recall creation myths, or an image of a mother and child might invoke a shared ideal of compassion or a famous story (e.g, Mary and Jesus in Christian art as symbols of sacrifice and love). Art historians analyze these motifs to understand how artworks communicate socially relevant messages. According to Theo van Leeuwen (2001), visual semiotics examines both the denotative meaning of an image (what is literally depicted) and its connotative meaning (the culturally loaded significance behind what is depicted). For instance, a mural of a hand holding a broken chain denotatively shows a freed prisoner, but connotatively it may stand for a remembered struggle for independence in that community's history. By encoding complex historical narratives into single potent images, art condenses collective memory into graspable, often emotionally charged symbols. This capacity to signal multilayered meaning is why authoritarian regimes, for instance, carefully orchestrate public art and monuments – they understand that controlling symbols is a way of controlling memory and sentiment. Conversely, oppressed communities have often developed their own artistic symbols and coded imagery as acts of resistance memory, preserving truths that official narratives try to erase. From subversive graffiti to folk songs with double meanings, art can carry a clandestine collective memory that challenges those in power.

Beyond visual arts, literary and performing arts also function semiotically in conveying cultural memory. A culture's literature – its epics, poems, legends, and even contemporary novels – is a rich repository of collective memory. Literary scholar Marianne Hirsch notes that narratives and storytelling techniques shape how events are remembered or silenced, and that even who gets to tell the story is determined by social power dynamics (Hirsch & Smith, 2002, p. 3). In this sense, literary arts are full of signs: genres, plot archetypes, metaphors, and character types that resonate with cultural memory. For example, the "lost golden age" is a recurring motif in postcolonial literatures, serving as a nostalgic sign of a pre-colonial cultural wholeness (or sometimes an imagined wholeness). Dennis Walder (2010) terms such thematic evocations as postcolonial nostalgia, where writers symbolically reclaim or mourn aspects of the past as a way to articulate identity after colonization. The semiotics of consumption in popular culture, as described by Holbrook and Hirschman (1993), similarly suggests that even everyday cultural products (fashion, music albums, movies) act as carriers of shared symbols and memories that consumers interpret and use to express themselves. For instance, vinyl records or retro clothing can become vehicles of "restorative nostalgia" – intentionally invoking the look and feel of a bygone era to trigger collective reminiscence. In sum, whether through high art or popular media, the arts engage a web of signs understood within a cultural community, making them a primary medium through which collective memories are encoded and decoded.

It is important to recognize that interpreting these artistic signs requires cultural knowledge. As semiotician Daniel Chandler (2022) emphasizes, the relationship between a sign and its meaning is often conventional or learned, not natural. Thus, a viewer from outside the

culture might miss much of the memory-significance of an image or story, just as one might not understand a foreign idiom. However, some artworks deliberately aim for cross-cultural legibility, using more universal human symbols (such as the themes of birth, love and death, which appear in art globally). Those universals can create what Schapiro suggested are "organic" links to meaning that transcend specific cultural rules. For example, abstract art often appeals to basic human cognitive and emotional responses (a harsh red slash on canvas can viscerally convey anger or pain even if one doesn't know the artist's context). Still, to fully grasp how art serves cultural memory, one must situate it within the sign-systems of its originating culture. Anthropologists and art historians do this by studying how symbols in art correspond to collective ideas, historical events, or social structures of that society. By treating artworks as texts to be read, semiotics and related approaches allow us to see art as a form of non-verbal communication that carries cultural memory. As Aldrich (2025) emphasizes in his philosophy of art, aesthetic forms do not simply reflect reality — they construct cultural meaning through symbolic expression, enabling societies to process memory through imagination. A painting or a dance can thus be "read" much like an archive document – sometimes yielding insights into how a people view their past and identity that official documents do not provide. Throughout history, societies have utilized this power of artistic signification in deliberate ways: from the iconography of ancient empires that legitimized their rule by linking it to divine symbols, to the national anthems and flags of modern states that encapsulate historical struggles in melody and color. In the next section, we explore how such artistic sign-systems contribute to the construction and preservation of cultural heritage as collective memory.

Cultural Heritage and Commemoration: Art as Collective Memory in Practice

The notion of cultural heritage encompasses the artifacts, sites, and practices that a community or society inherits from its past and deems worthy of passing on to future generations. This includes tangible objects like monuments, buildings, artworks, and archives, as well as intangible traditions like folklore, music, language, and craftsmanship. Heritage is essentially cultural memory made concrete. It is through heritage preservation that societies intentionally curate what will be remembered about their past. As heritage scholar Janet Blake (2000) notes, concern for protecting cultural artifacts and monuments is not new – historical records show efforts to safeguard cultural treasures even in ancient times. However, the modern concept of world heritage, largely developed through international law in the 20th century, explicitly frames the protection of cultural property as a global responsibility, especially after witnessing the devastation of cultural sites in conflicts like World War II. The 1954 Hague Convention (the first major international treaty on cultural property protection) emerged "in response to the destruction and looting of monuments and works of art" during WWII, motivated by the idea that preventing such loss was vital for "reconciliation and the prevention of future conflicts". This underscores how deeply cultural memory (embodied in art and monuments) is tied to collective well-being: destroying a community's cultural heritage is understood as wounding its memory and identity, whereas preserving or restoring heritage is seen as mending social fabric and honoring memory.

Because cultural heritage is so closely linked to memory and identity, debates often arise over what constitutes heritage and who "owns" it. James Boyer (1994) spoke of the "city of collective memory" in which urban landscapes themselves become archives of layered memories. Historic city centers, for example, with their palaces, churches, bazaars, or statues, serve as living memory galleries where each building or street name might tell a story of the community's past (a revolution, a golden age of trade, a beloved leader). When modernization or political change threatens such environments, communities often react strongly because they sense an attack on their memory. Similarly, artifacts like sculptures, ceremonial objects, or

Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

paintings are more than aesthetic pieces – they are memory objects. John Gillman (2010) notes that the very idea of cultural heritage hinges on recognizing certain creations as carriers of a people's history and values, deserving special care and legal protection. This has given rise to heritage laws and ethical codes surrounding art and artifacts (Merryman & Elsen, 2007; Hoffman, 2006). For instance, controversies over the repatriation of museum objects – such as the Parthenon Marbles or indigenous sacred artifacts in Western museums – center on questions of cultural memory and identity: To whom does an artifact's memory "belong"? Who has the right to tell its story or use it in rituals? These issues reveal how heritage objects function as focal points of memory for different groups, and how possessing them can confer a sense of historical continuity or legitimacy. Legal frameworks try to balance these concerns by emphasizing preservation and context: UNESCO conventions define heritage broadly (including intangible heritage since 2003) and encourage returning artifacts illicitly taken, precisely because an object outside its cultural context is often a memory severed from those who understand it best (Gillman, 2010). Heritage, in sum, is about keeping cultural memory alive – whether through maintaining ancient buildings, continuing traditional crafts, or passing down oral epics – and artistic expression is at the core of each of these activities.

Monuments and memorials are among the clearest examples of artistic expressions deliberately created to carry collective memory. Erecting a monument is a societal act of saying, "this we shall remember." Often cast in durable materials like stone or bronze, monuments are meant to physically embody memory for future generations. They use visual art – sculpture, architecture, inscriptions – to honor a person or event deemed significant. However, the meaning of monuments can evolve as the social context changes. Barry Schwartz's study of Abraham Lincoln's remembrance (1990) showed that the image of Lincoln in American memory has been reinterpreted over time, depending on contemporary needs – from a unifying symbol in times of war to an emblem of racial justice in the civil rights era. The statue stays the same, but what it signifies in collective memory is continually negotiated. This exemplifies what Schwartz calls the "social context of commemoration" – monuments and commemorative art do not speak for themselves; their message is activated by rituals (like annual ceremonies) and by public discourse around them. A monument is thus best seen as a node in a memory network: it anchors certain narratives (e.g, valor, sacrifice, tragedy) and provides a gathering point for collective remembrance (such as laying wreaths on a memorial day), but it requires the participatory engagement of people to fulfill its mnemonic role. This is why communities devastated by conflict often prioritize rebuilding or establishing memorials – think of the post-war reconstruction of landmarks in cities or the erection of war memorials in nearly every town. Such acts are a way of reasserting identity and continuity by inscribing memory onto the physical landscape. Conversely, the toppling of statues or deliberate vandalism of monuments (for example, in anti-colonial protests or iconoclastic movements) signals a rejection of certain memories or the desire to change the narrative enshrined by those monuments. As Confino (1997) and others have noted, collective memory is inherently plural and often contested; commemorative art can become a battleground for whose memory should dominate the public space.

Intangible heritage – like ceremonies, music, dance, and oral history – also relies on artistic expression as a memory vehicle. As Ismayil (2024) demonstrates in her study on the Nakhchivan dialects and artistic expressions, the interconnection between oral and written traditions functions as a mnemonic mechanism that preserves regional cultural identity and collective memory through linguistic and creative continuity. Rituals, for instance, are essentially performed in memory. They are often theatrical, involving costumes, scripted actions, music, and artful objects, all of which work together to evoke a shared past. Catherine Bell (1992) described ritual as a form of cultural performance that "attaches the present to the past" through formalized, symbolic acts. Emile Durkheim earlier noted that in ritual

ceremonies, the community "periodically renews the sentiment it has of itself and its unity" by reenacting myths and traditions (Durkheim, 1995, p. 427). The arts play a key role here: think of a reenactment of an ancestral story in a dance drama, or the repetitive chant of an old legend – these are creative acts that reactivate memory in each performance. Without such performative art, many intangible memories would simply vanish; the story or custom lives because it is repeatedly expressed. Anthropologist Jan Vansina's studies of oral societies in Africa showed that even in the absence of written records, complex histories were preserved through song, poetry, and visual symbols, passed down by skilled storytellers or griots. These arts require apprenticeship and creativity, as each generation might add subtle updates while keeping the core memory intact. Aleida Assmann (2011) emphasizes that cultural memory needs media and mediation – whether the medium is a written chronicle, a carved totem, or a yearly festival, it provides the external support without which memory "floats" and dissipates. The arts have historically been the most vital media for people without formal archives: indigenous petroglyphs, quilting patterns embedded with family history, or spiritual songs encoding theological lessons are all examples of art forms doubling as archives of cultural knowledge. Even when societies develop writing and archives, the arts continue to play an irreplaceable role because they engage people emotionally and communally, not just cognitively. A history book might inform, but a stirring patriotic song can inspire and bind; an archive might document, but a drama or film can make the past felt and thus remembered more deeply.

With modernization and globalization, new forms of cultural memory vehicles have emerged alongside traditional arts. Museums are a relatively modern institution that use curatorial art – arranging artifacts and art pieces – to tell a narrative about the past. Exhibitions themselves are designed experiences, often incorporating artistic display techniques to contextualize objects (lighting, dioramas, multimedia). They are part of what Olick, Vinitzky-Seroussi, and Levy (2011, p. 26) call "mnemonic technologies," tools by which a society organizes and presents its memories (the museum, the archive, the library, etc.). As Moayerian (2023) illustrates in his Brazilian case study on art, culture, memories, and identities, artistic practices can serve as pivotal vehicles for both individual and collective memory, linking cultural identity with creative expression. In recent decades, technology has further expanded these mnemonic tools. Digital media allow for interactive and widely accessible ways of preserving and experiencing cultural memory. For example, virtual reality reconstructions of ancient sites and historical events have been developed as a form of serious game or educational simulation. Eike Anderson et al. (2010) review how "serious games" for cultural heritage create immersive historical environments for users to explore, thus supporting heritage learning and engagement. These range from virtual museum tours to fully interactive games where players might, say, wander a digital reconstruction of an ancient city or reenact historical scenarios. The state-of-the-art in such projects employs advanced computer graphics, VR, and AI to enhance realism and interactivity, aiming to motivate especially younger generations to learn about cultural heritage in a compelling way. In a similar vein, visualization of cultural heritage data has become a field of research: Windhager et al. (2018) survey how large digital collections of archives or museum objects can be turned into visual interfaces (timelines, maps, network graphs) that help both scholars and the public identify patterns and stories within vast cultural datasets. These innovative visualizations go beyond the static catalog or search-by-keyword; they allow people to see cultural memory at scale, perhaps observing how artistic styles spread across regions or how certain themes recur over centuries. By doing so, they give a new perspective on cultural memory – one that can highlight connections or forgotten pieces in the collective story, and invite users to explore serendipitously.

In effect, technology is amplifying the reach of artistic heritage. A song or artifact that was once localized can now be shared globally online, potentially entering the cultural memory of people far from its origin (albeit interpreted through their own frameworks). However, the

Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

essential role of artistic expression as the vessel remains unchanged. Whether it's a heritage site with interactive projection mapping for tourists or a community Facebook page sharing historical photographs, it is the creative, expressive framing that turns information into a resonant memory for a group. As we have discussed, such framing is never neutral: choices are made about what to highlight or omit, and these choices reflect contemporary values and power relations. We turn next to examining those dynamics more explicitly – how artistic expressions of memory can both reinforce and challenge the dominant narratives within a culture.

Power, Identity, and Memory in Artistic Expression

Not all memories are equally celebrated in the public sphere; cultural memory is often a contested domain, closely tied to questions of power, identity, and representation. Artistic expressions of memory – be it in monuments, literature, or film – can either reinforce a dominant group's perspective or serve as a medium for marginalized voices to assert their historical presence. Marianne Hirsch and Valerie Smith (2002) succinctly note that "what a culture remembers and what it chooses to forget are intricately bound up with issues of power and hegemony". This means the content of cultural memory (and its artistic vehicles) is often a reflection of which groups have the authority to inscribe their narratives as the collective narrative. For example, for many decades in the 20th century, mainstream art and history in some countries often omitted or stereotyped the experiences of women, minorities, or colonized peoples, as if they had no significant past. Feminist scholars like Hirsch and Smith point out that even in something as globally scrutinized as Holocaust memory, for a long time, the paradigmatic narratives were male-centered, treating women's experiences as footnotes. The testimonies and art by women survivors were less recognized, which in turn affected how the Holocaust was collectively remembered. Here, gender is a factor in memory: whose art and story gets institutional space (museums, curricula, monuments) depends on social structures. Hirsch's concept of postmemory further examines how the children of trauma survivors (often women in the role of family storytellers) use art – such as photography, memoir, or film – to connect to and communicate the memories of a previous generation. These postmemorial artworks can challenge the official memory by including perspectives of those previously unseen (e.g, the domestic or maternal view of war as opposed to the battlefield heroism view).

Art has proven to be a potent tool for memory activism. Artists from marginalized communities frequently employ creative expression to reclaim their histories and insert them into the broader cultural memory. For instance, Indigenous artists in colonized nations have used painting, sculpture, and performance to revive traditional stories and critique colonial narratives that have silenced their people's past. Such art often functions as counter-memory, directly contesting the "master commemorative narrative" promoted by the dominant culture. In Latin America, the post-dictatorship murals and arpilleras (appliqué quilts) created by women carried the memories of the "disappeared" and the trauma of repression, keeping these realities in the public conscience when official accounts were suppressing them. These works both memorialize and mobilize – they ensure that certain events cannot be easily erased from collective memory, and they call for recognition and justice. Karen Till's concept of wounded cities (2012) looks at how artists and residents in cities scarred by violence undertake "memory-work" to address past injustices and care for their community's future. In places like Berlin, Bogotá, or Cape Town, this has involved site-specific art installations, walking tours, or community archives that surface buried stories (e.g, of displaced neighborhoods or apartheid-era crimes). Such memory-work through art is inherently ethical and political: it "encourage[s] forms of witnessing to respect those who have gone before [and] attend to past injustices that continue to haunt contemporary cities". By creating spaces and artworks of remembrance (like

graffiti memorials or interactive exhibitions in former prisons), communities craft an inclusive narrative that can counter the neglect or denial of those traumas in official discourse.

Power dynamics also influence how art that represents memory is received and interpreted. A striking example is the debate around artistic representations of the Holocaust. Philosopher Berel Lang (2000) in Holocaust Representation: Art within the Limits of History and Ethics discusses the moral challenges artists face in portraying an event of such magnitude and horror. There is a tension between art's tendency to aestheticize and the ethical imperative to remember atrocities with solemnity and accuracy. Adorno's famous remark, "to write poetry after Auschwitz is barbaric," captures the concern that art could beautify or trivialize trauma. Yet many artists and writers did engage with Holocaust memory, from survivors like Elie Wiesel to painters like Anselm Kiefer. Lang and others highlight that art about events like the Holocaust must navigate limits – it often uses abstraction, symbolism, or fragmentation as strategies to convey the unspeakable without sensationalism (for instance, a memorial that presents an absence or void, like the empty library shelves in Rachel Whiteread's Holocaust Memorial, to evoke loss in a respectful way). The fact that Holocaust memorial museums frequently incorporate art (poems on walls, sculptures, multimedia installations) attests to the necessity of artistic expression in evoking empathy and understanding for events beyond normal experience. It also shows a convergence of historical truth-telling with artistic interpretation, ideally done in a way that educates without distorting. This example underscores that the ethics of memory (Margalit, 2002) are always in play: societies must remember, but how they remember (and represent that memory) carries moral weight. Art can humanize statistics (like portraying one family's story out of millions lost) and can create emotional connections that pure data cannot, thereby fulfilling an ethical duty to remember individuals, not just numbers. However, it can also provoke controversy if a group feels an artwork disrespects their memory (as seen when memorial designs or films are contested).

The power to define cultural memory through art is often contested in the realm of national identity and politics. States have long used cultural policy to sponsor artworks that promote a desired historical narrative. National epics, grand history paintings, or statues of "great men" in public squares serve to reinforce an official story of the nation – typically one of unity, glory, and righteous struggle. Yet within any nation, there are plural experiences: different ethnic, regional, or religious groups might have their own memories that diverge from the national mythos. When those groups gain more voice (through political movements or social change), they often seek to inscribe their memories in the national narrative, frequently through artistic and cultural channels. For example, in recent years, many countries have seen movements to acknowledge indigenous or minority history in public art and monuments (such as installing plaques or statues for previously overlooked figures, or renaming places). These efforts sometimes meet resistance from those wedded to the old narrative, illustrating Schwartz's point that collective memory can become "contested territory". Art becomes the battleground: whose statue should stand in the square, whose story gets a national holiday, whose literature is taught in schools. Each of these is about which memory is given prominence. An illuminating case is the push to remove or contextualize monuments to colonial or Confederate figures – activists argue that monuments celebrate a memory of oppression for some citizens, while defenders claim they represent heritage. The compromise in some places has been to add new art (e.g, counter-memorials, or art installations that question the old statues) rather than simply destroy the old, thus turning the public space into a dialogue of memories instead of a monologue.

Finally, we should consider the personal dimension and how it intersects with the collective through art. Memory is personal, but personal memories often become publicly significant when expressed through art that others relate to. Many artists use autobiographical elements as representation of a collective experience. A memoir or autobiographical novel, while one person's story, can resonate widely if it taps into common cultural references. For

Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

instance, Maya Angelou's memoirs of growing up Black in America became part of cultural memory in the U.S., because her literary expression gave voice to many shared experiences of racial injustice and resilience. Her art bridged individual and collective memory. Similarly, the therapeutic art expressions of individuals (as studied by art therapists like Vija Lusebrink and Edith Kramer) suggest that when people externalize personal memories in painting or music, they often draw unconsciously on cultural symbols and narratives. A patient's drawing in therapy might include national or religious motifs that situate their personal trauma in a broader cultural story. In this way, art expression is a mode of connecting personal healing with cultural memory. The growth of community arts projects for trauma survivors, veterans, or marginalized youth often yields artworks that educate the public about those individuals' experiences, thus integrating those experiences into the community's memory. When a veteran writes a war poem or a refugee paints scenes of their lost home, they are contributing threads to the tapestry of cultural memory, ensuring those facets of history are not overlooked.

In summary, power and identity profoundly shape artistic vehicles of memory. Art can either uphold a hegemonic memory (sometimes by omission of others) or challenge it by providing a platform for alternative memories. The dialectic between master narratives and counter-narratives plays out in the symbolic realm, with art as its stage. Recognizing this prompts a more critical appreciation of cultural memory in art: we learn to ask, whose memory is on display here, and why? And whose is missing? By engaging with those questions, societies can move toward a more inclusive cultural memory that acknowledges diverse experiences. The arts, being flexible and evocative, are uniquely suited to facilitating this dialogue, as they allow expression beyond the strict boundaries of official discourse. As our final focus, we turn to the transformative potential of art – how engaging in artistic expression of memory can foster learning, empathy, and even change in both individuals and communities.

Art, Memory, and Transformative Engagement

Artistic engagement with cultural memory is not only about preserving the past; it is also a process through which individuals and groups can transform their understanding of themselves and their world. The act of creating or interacting with art that carries memory can lead to profound insights, emotional catharsis, or shifts in perspective – what adult education theorist Jack Mezirow called transformative learning (Lawrence, 2012). In the context of cultural memory, this often means that through art, people reconcile with difficult pasts, bridge generational gaps, or develop a deeper sense of identity and empathy.

One avenue of transformation is therapeutic art expression. Art therapy research has shown that making art can be a primary mode of inquiry into one's own experiences and memories (McNiff, 2011). Unlike purely verbal therapy, art allows memories – including traumatic or pre-verbal ones – to be expressed in images, colors, and metaphors, which can then be reflected upon. Psychologist and art therapist Edith Kramer observed that the art-making process itself can bring repressed material to the surface safely, turning it into a tangible object (a drawing, a clay figure) that the person can then respond to from a slight distance (Kramer, 1971, p. 10). Mala Betensky's phenomenological approach to therapeutic art (1995) asks the client, "What do you see?", encouraging them to find meaning in the imagery they produced as if looking at someone else's artwork. This often leads to new realizations about personal memory and feelings that were previously inaccessible in a purely intellectual way. Vija Lusebrink (2004) delved into the neuroscience behind such processes, noting that visual art-making activates multiple brain regions involved in emotion and memory formation. As a result, creating art can help integrate emotional (limbic) memories with cognitive understanding, literally helping to rewire traumatic memory into a more manageable form. Although this is at the individual level, the implications radiate outward: healed or self-aware

individuals contribute to a healthier collective memory. Many community-based art programs for trauma survivors – for example, collaborative mural projects with war veterans or victims of violence – have dual goals: therapeutic benefit for participants and an educational message for the public, who later view the artwork and learn of the participants' experiences. In these cases, personal memories transformed through art become part of the cultural memory, fostering broader understanding and compassion within the community.

Artistic expression also facilitates intergenerational dialogue, which is a key aspect of cultural memory continuity. In families and communities, the generation that lived through a formative event (war, migration, etc.) may transmit their memories through stories, songs, or creative practices to the next generation. However, when direct communication is impeded (due to trauma, silence, or time), art can bridge the gap. Hirsch's concept of postmemory, as mentioned, describes how children of survivors of cultural traumas engage with the previous generation's memories so deeply that they almost seem to "remember" it themselves. They often do this through artistic re-creations: for instance, the children of exiles might write novels imagining their parents' homeland, or make documentary films assembling old photographs and letters. In doing so, they transform inherited fragments into coherent narratives or symbols that they and their peers can grasp. This process can be healing for families and also enriching for cultural memory, because it creates a lineage of remembrance rather than a break. The transformative aspect lies in reinterpretation – the new generation inevitably frames the memory in line with contemporary values (sometimes more critical of past injustices, sometimes more focused on reconciliation). Their art can thus refresh cultural memory, making it relevant to the present while still honoring the core of the past. For example, contemporary Indigenous youth might use hip-hop music to tell traditional stories or colonial history – the form is new, but the content carries the cultural memory, now in a voice that speaks to their contemporaries. This not only preserves the memory but transforms its expression to ensure it stays alive.

Engaging with art that embodies cultural memory can also transform bystanders or those from outside that cultural experience by generating empathy and insight. When we read a novel from another culture or watch a film about another community's historical trauma, we are invited into their collective memory. Martha Nussbaum has argued that literature and the arts cultivate the "narrative imagination," expanding our ability to empathize with people whose lives are very different (Nussbaum, 1997, p. 85). This has implications for multicultural societies and global understanding: artistic expressions of memory can act as educators and connectors across cultural divides. For instance, the global popularity of a novel like The Kite Runner (about an Afghan boy's memories amid turmoil) or a film like Schindler's List (dramatizing Holocaust memories) shows that art can carry one group's memory to a broad audience, potentially transforming global awareness and moral outlooks. While it is crucial to approach such cross-cultural consumption with respect (to avoid appropriation or misunderstanding of sacred memories), the net effect is often an expansion of the circle of memory – more people "remember" what happened, even if they inherited no direct memory of it, and this can influence attitudes and even policy (as seen in how Holocaust memory through media has bolstered worldwide resolve against genocide). Thus, artistic vehicles of memory can be agents of social change, slowly shifting narratives and sympathies in the public sphere. Pennebaker, Páez, and colleagues (2013) have examined how collective memory of political events can be shaped through public commemorations and media, affecting things like national reconciliation or continued grievance. Art often plays a role in those commemorations (from memorial architecture to songs played at ceremonies) and in media representations (the imagery chosen to symbolize an event). By altering those artistic representations – say, introducing a memorial that acknowledges a formerly excluded group – one can gradually alter the collective memory and, with it, the social attitudes tied to that memory.

Finally, creating art based on cultural memory is often a profoundly empowering experience. It allows individuals to become active contributors to their cultural narrative rather

Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
2025, Vol.12, No. 5, 258-275
http://dx.doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/2816

ISSN: 2149-1291

than passive inheritors. Shaun McNiff (2011) champions the idea of artistic inquiry, where artists don't just illustrate known history but explore and question it through the creative process. Many contemporary artists interrogate cultural memory – for example, by deconstructing colonial-era paintings or re-staging historical photographs with a twist – and in doing so, they uncover hidden layers or biases in the traditional narratives. This artistic investigation can prompt historians and the public to revisit and revise what they thought they knew. In a way, artists can be ahead of academics in sensing shifts in collective memory. Aleida Assmann observed that "artists who have supplemented, criticized, transformed, and opposed [cultural memory] are its most lucid theorists and observers". As Braden (2025) discusses, artists often act as bridges between personal memory and collective experience, shaping how communities visualize and internalize their shared past. Indeed, well before scholarly discourse catches up, artists might be reflecting changing attitudes – for instance, street artists in post-Soviet states were critiquing communist memory even as academics were still processing the archives. By the time scholars labeled certain statues as propaganda, artists had already graffiti-ed them with subversive messages or repurposed them in provocative installations, effectively reframing their meaning in cultural memory. In this sense, art not only carries memory but also interrogates and reshapes it, which is transformative for culture at large.

In conclusion to this section, the interplay of art, memory, and transformation highlights that cultural memory is not a one-way inheritance but an active dialogue between past and present, in which art is often the mediator. Through creating and engaging with art, people find meaning in their collective past, sometimes changing themselves in the process and sometimes changing the narrative for others. This transformative potential reinforces why preserving space for diverse artistic voices is vital: it keeps cultural memory vibrant, responsive, and inclusive. Rather than a fixed archive, cultural memory via art becomes a living, creative process – one that ideally leads to greater understanding, healing, and solidarity within and between communities.

Conclusion

Artistic expressions are fundamental to how societies remember, interpret, and transmit their collective past. As we have seen, art is not merely a mirror of cultural memory but its vessel, engine, and moderator. Through a rich tapestry of forms – from ancient epics and monuments to modern novels, films, and digital media – art carries forward the stories and symbols that define a culture's identity, while also allowing each generation to reinterpret those memories in light of present realities. The relationship is dynamic: culture shapes the art of memory, and that art in turn shapes cultural memory. When a community carves its triumphs and tragedies into stone or weaves them into song, it is essentially writing its autobiography in code, to be deciphered and felt by future hearts and minds. Without artistic expression, cultural memory would be largely impersonal – dates in a book or data in a registry. It is the arts that give memory color, sound, and texture, making the past come alive in the present collective imagination.

This article has highlighted several key insights into this symbiotic relationship. First, drawing on memory studies, we clarified that cultural memory relies on externalization in symbolic forms – a point elegantly summarized by Jan Assmann's distinction between communicative memory (the short-term living memory of everyday interaction) and cultural memory (the long-duration memory anchored in media, ceremony, and art). We underscored that artistic media (whether a ritual drama or a museum exhibit) provide the stability and shared reference points that allow memories to endure beyond the living generation. Second, we explored the semiotic dimension: art operates through culturally coded signs, and thus it can compress complex historical meanings into resonant symbols. This allows art to be a compact

communicator of memory – a single image or melody can evoke an entire web of stories and emotions in a way that straightforward factual recitation may not.

Third, we discussed how artistic heritage and commemoration practices institutionalize memory. By dedicating physical spaces and recurring times to remembering (monuments, anniversaries, etc.), societies employ art to ensure that remembering becomes a collective ritual rather than a chance individual act. Importantly, we also noted that these practices are not static – they face contestation and change as society's values evolve. Artistic expressions of memory can be revised (new plaques, new memorial designs) or re-contextualized to include previously marginalized perspectives. This leads to the fourth point: the politics and ethics of memory in art. The article illustrated that cultural memory is inherently selective and often reflects power structures; however, art provides a means to challenge and broaden the narrative. Through creative intervention, silenced histories can find voice and dominant myths can be critiqued, guiding society toward a more comprehensive and just remembrance. Examples ranged from feminist remembrances altering Holocaust narratives to postcolonial literary nostalgia reclaiming indigenous identities.

Finally, we examined the transformative impact – on individuals healing from trauma, on intergenerational understanding, and on cross-cultural empathy – that comes from engaging with art as a mode of memory. Art invites participation: to remember through art is often to re-experience and re-evaluate the past rather than passively consume it. This participatory aspect means cultural memory is continually rejuvenated. Each new artwork that dialogues with the past (be it a film about a historical figure or a street mural commemorating a community's hardship) becomes a fresh node in the network of memory, connecting the past's lessons and legacies to the present moment. As Aleida Assmann has argued, artists, through their imagination and critique, often anticipate new ways of understanding memory long before formal scholarship. They are the scouts in unexplored territories of collective memory, shining light on blind spots and imagining possibilities of reconciliation or commemoration that did not exist before.

In a rapidly changing world, the role of artistic expressions in cultural memory may be more crucial than ever. Globalization and digital communication pose both challenges and opportunities: on one hand, the onslaught of information and the homogenizing forces of global culture could erode local memories; on the other, new platforms allow for more voices and creative forms to partake in memory-making. The principles discussed here suggest that supporting diverse artistic heritage and contemporary creative work is essential to maintaining cultural memory richness. This means not only preserving monuments and archives, but also encouraging living artists, writers, and performers to engage with heritage and history in innovative ways. It means embracing multiple narratives and forms of expression – ensuring that the cultural memory we pass on is inclusive and resonant for future generations. In practical terms, this could involve educational curricula that integrate arts and history, public arts funding that prioritizes memory projects (like community murals or theater based on oral histories), and the use of technology to democratize access to both heritage and the means of artistic production.

To conclude, artistic expressions are indeed vehicles – vessels that carry the precious cargo of cultural memory across time and space. But they are not inert containers; they are living carriers, often transforming the cargo en route. As each generation boards these vehicles – singing the old songs, gazing at the old monuments, or playing the historical video games – they inevitably add some of themselves and take away something new. The journey of cultural memory is thus ongoing and alive. By recognizing and valuing the role of art in this journey, we can better steer it: honoring the depth of our past, addressing the wounds and gaps in our narratives, and inspiring the future with a sense of shared story. In the words of one cultural memory scholar, communities "turn toward the future as communities of hope" when they tie their memories to aspirations. Artistic creations, past and present, are what often ignite that

ISSN: 2149-1291

hope – reminding us not only of who we have been, but of who we might become through the act of remembering together.

Funding Details

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Disclosure Statement

The author declares no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

Aldrich, V. C. (2025). *Philosophy of art*. Bonhopai Books. p.45

Anderson, E. F., McLoughlin, L., Liarokapis, F., Peters, C., Petridis, P., & De Freitas, S. (2010). Developing serious games for cultural heritage: a state-of-the-art review. *Virtual Reality, 14*(4), 255–275. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-010-0177-3

Assmann, A. (2006). Memory, individual and collective. In R. E. Goodin & C. Tilly (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Contextual Political Analysis* (pp. 210–224). Oxford University Press.

Assmann, A. (2011). *Cultural Memory and Western Civilization: Functions, Media, Archives*. Cambridge University Press.

Assmann, J. (2011). Communicative and cultural memory. In K. K. Kong & J. Rüsen (Eds.), *Cultural Memories: The Geographical Point of View* (pp. 15–27). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8945-8_2

Assmann, J., & Czaplicka, J. (1995). Collective memory and cultural identity. *New German Critique*, *65*(1), 125–133. https://doi.org/10.2307/488538

Bal, M., & Bryson, N. (1991). Semiotics and art history. *The Art Bulletin, 73*(2), 174–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/00043079.1991.10786752

Betensky, M. G. (1995). *What Do You See?: Phenomenology of Therapeutic Art Expression*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

Blake, J. (2000). On defining the cultural heritage. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly, 49*(1), 61–85. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002058930006396X

Boyer, M. C. (1994). *The City of Collective Memory: Its Historical Imagery and Architectural Entertainment*s. MIT Press.

Braden, S. (2025). *Artists and people*. Taylor & Francis, https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003617839

Chandler, D. (2022). *Semiotics: The Basics (3rd ed.)*. Routledge.

Confino, A. (1997). Collective memory and cultural history: Problems of method. *The American Historical Review, 102*(5), 1386–1403. https://doi.org/10.2307/2171069

Crawley, H., & Nyahuye, L. (2022). Boxed: Exploring Containment and Resilience in Times of Crisis. *Feminist Encounters: A Journal of Critical Studies in Culture and Politics, 6*(2), 24. https://doi.org/10.20897/femenc/12347

Elston-Short, J. D. J., & Benwell, M. (2025). Integrated Cognitive Behavior Therapy: Implications for Professional Identity. A Systematic Review. *American Journal of Qualitative Research, 9*(4), 237-254. https://doi.org/10.29333/ajqr/17188

Gibbons, J. (2007). *Contemporary Art and Memory: Images of Recollection and Remembrance.* I.B. Tauris.

Gillman, D. (2010). *The Idea of Cultural Heritage* (Rev. ed.). Cambridge University Press.
Halbwachs, M. (2024). *On Collective Memory* (L. A. Coser, Trans.; New ed.). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1950)
Hirsch, M., & Smith, V. (2002). Feminism and cultural memory: An introduction. Signs: *Journal of Women in Culture and Society, 28*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1086/340890
Hoffman, B. T. (Ed.). (2006). *Art and Cultural Heritage: Law, Policy and Practice.* Cambridge University Press.
Holbrook, M. B., & Hirschman, E. C. (1993). *The Semiotics of Consumption: Interpreting Symbolic Consumer Behavior in Popular Culture and Works of Art.* Walter de Gruyter.
Hutton, P. H. (1993). *History as an Art of Memory*. University Press of New England.
Ismayil, Z. (2024). Development points of the derivation process related to Nakhchivan dialects and accents (based on written and oral literary and artistic examples). *Forum for Linguistic Studies, 6*(2), 1192. https://doi.org/10.59400/fls.v6i2.1192
Lang, B. (2000). *Holocaust Representation: Art within the Limits of History and Ethics*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
Lawrence, R. L. (2012). Transformative learning through artistic expression. In E. W. Taylor & P. Cranton (Eds.), *The Handbook of Transformative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice* (pp. 471–485). Jossey-Bass.
Lusebrink, V. B. (2004). Art therapy and the brain: An attempt to understand the underlying processes of art expression in therapy. *Art Therapy, 21*(3), 125–135. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421656.2004.10129496
Margalit, A. (2002). The Ethics of Memory. Harvard University Press.
McNiff, S. (2011). Artistic expressions as primary modes of inquiry. *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling, 39*(5), 385–396. https://doi.org/10.1080/03069885.2011.621520
Merryman, J. H., & Elsen, A. E. (2007). *Law, Ethics and the Visual Arts (5th ed.)*. Kluwer Law International.
Moayerian, N. (2023). The arts and individual and collective agency: A Brazilian case study. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, 10*(4), 155–168. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/1407
Olick, J. K., Vinitzky-Seroussi, V., & Levy, D. (Eds.). (2011). *The Collective Memory Reader*. Oxford University Press.
Pennebaker, J. W., Páez, D., & Rime, B. (Eds.). (2013). *Collective Memory of Political Events: Social Psychological Perspectives*. Psychology Press.
Schapiro, M. (1969). On some problems in the semiotics of visual art: Field and vehicle in image-signs. *Semiotica, 1*(3), 223–242. https://doi.org/10.1515/semi.1969.1.3.223
Schwartz, B. (1982). The social context of commemoration: A study in collective memory. *Social Forces, 61*(2), 374–402. https://doi.org/10.2307/2578230
Tan, X. (2020). Ethnic Minority Women in Contemporary Chinese Comics: Design, Role and Identity. *Feminist Encounters: A Journal of Critical Studies in Culture and Politics, 4*(1), 07. https://doi.org/10.20897/femenc/7911
Till, K. E. (2012). Wounded cities: Memory-work and a place-based ethics of care. *Political Geography, 31*(1), 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2011.10.008
van Leeuwen, T. (2001). Semiotics and iconography. In T. van Leeuwen & C. Jewitt (Eds.), *Handbook of Visual Analysis* (pp. 92–118). Sage.
Walder, D. (2010). *Postcolonial Nostalgias: Writing, Representation and Memory*. Routledge.
Wang, Y., & Fu, S. (2025). Rejecting Rejections: Arts-Based Reflexivity of Untamed Scholarly Identity Development and Sisterhood in Be(com)ing Academics. *American Journal of Qualitative Research, 9*(3), 245-265. https://doi.org/10.29333/ajqr/16647
Winarto, W., Rosada, D., Wibowo, W. S., Rahayu, D. P., Pradana, P. W., & Shidiq, G. A. (2025). The Impact of STEM-EfSD Games on Enhancing Students' Creative Thinking

ISSN: 2149-1291

and Environmental Literacy. *European Journal of STEM Education, 10*(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.20897/ejsteme/17354

Windhager, F., Federico, P., Schreder, G., Glinka, K., Dörk, M., Miksch, S., & Mayr, E. (2019). Visualization of cultural heritage collection data: State of the art and future challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 25*(6), 2311–2330. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2018.2830759

Notes on Contributors

Alaviyya Nuri is a Ph.D. student and lecturer at the Department of English Language and Methodology, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. Her research interests center on English linguistics, intercultural communication, and English for Specific Purposes (ESP). She has authored and co-authored several research papers on language-teaching methodology and intercultural competence. Nuri also integrates innovative teaching strategies, such as digital storytelling and project-based learning, into her classroom practice.

Dr. Zulfiyya Ismayil is an Associate Professor and Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Philology at Nakhchivan State University and a member of the Nakhchivan Branch of the Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences. Her academic interests include Azerbaijani linguistics, comparative philology, and the development of linguistic thought in Azerbaijan. Dr. Ismayil has published numerous scholarly articles in national and international journals and actively participates in conferences on language and culture studies.

Dr. Malahat Babayeva works as an associate professor at the Department of Literature at the Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University (ASPU) in Baku. She holds a Ph.D. in Philology and specializes in Azerbaijani literature, comparative literary studies, and modern Azerbaijani prose. Her research explores national identity, literary expression, and cultural transformation in Azerbaijani literature. Dr. Babayeva has presented her work at numerous international conferences and contributed to peer-reviewed journals.

Professor Dr. Abulfaz Guliyev is a renowned philologist and the Director of the Institute of Art, Language and Literature of the Nakhchivan Branch of the Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences. He also teaches at Nakhchivan State University. His scholarly work focuses on Azerbaijani linguistics, dialectology, and historical language development. Professor Guliyev is the author of several monographs and textbooks and serves as an expert in national and international linguistic projects.

Dr. Firuza Rzayeva is an associate professor and the Head of the Master's Department at the Azerbaijan University of Languages in Baku. She holds a Ph.D. in Philology and has extensive experience in teaching and research. Her academic focus includes language education, sociolinguistics, and translation studies. Dr. Rzayeva has supervised many postgraduate students and contributed significantly to the development of advanced language curricula in Azerbaijan.

Dr. Gunay Shiraliyeva is an associate professor and she serves as the Head of the Department of English Language Teaching Methods at the Azerbaijan University of Languages, Baku. She holds a Ph.D. in Linguistics and has over fifteen years of experience in language pedagogy. Her main research interests include English language teaching methodology, teacher education, and language assessment. Dr. Shiraliyeva has authored numerous papers on ELT innovation and pedagogical strategies.

Dr. Tabarruk Jahangirli is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Foreign Languages, Azerbaijan Technical University, Baku. She holds a Ph.D. in Linguistics and specializes in English language teaching, translation studies, and applied linguistics. Her academic work focuses on integrating technology in language education and enhancing students'

communicative competence. Dr. Jahangirli regularly participates in international symposia and contributes to collaborative research initiatives.

ORCID

Alaviyya Nuri, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4219-1071
Dr. Zulfiyya Ismayil, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4967-0123
Dr. Malahat Babayeva, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3600-8499
Professor Dr. Abulfaz Guliyev, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8733-3833
Dr. Firuza Rzayeva, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0705-5878
Dr. Gunay Shiraliyeva, https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1075-2273
Dr. Tabarruk Jahangirli, https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3440-756X